

BRYAN CAVE LLP  
THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 7<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-4070

**UNITED LAW CENTER**

John S. Sargetis, California Bar No. 80630  
Stephen J. Foondos, California Bar No. 148982  
3301 Watt Ave., Suite 500  
Sacramento, California 95821  
Telephone: (916) 367-0630  
Facsimile: (916) 865-0817  
E-Mail: jsargetis@unitedlawcenter.com  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

**WOLFE & WYMAN LLP**

Jessica Coffield, California Bar No. 274122  
James Ramos, California Bar No. 252916  
980 9th Street, Suite 1750  
Sacramento, California 95814  
Telephone: (916) 912-4700  
Facsimile: (916) 329-8905  
E-Mail: jbcoffield@ww.law; jjramos@ww.law  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*CENTRAL LOAN ADMINISTRATION dba CENLAR FSB*

**BRYAN CAVE LEIGHTON PAISNER LLP**

Helen C. Goodman, California Bar No. 324725  
Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4070  
Telephone: (415) 675-3400  
Facsimile: (415) 675-3434  
E-Mail: helen.goodman@bclplaw.com  
*Attorney for Defendant*  
*CITIBANK, N.A.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
(SACRAMENTO COURTHOUSE)**

THOMAS M. HOGAN and RU HOGAN,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CENTRAL LOAN ADMINISTRATION dba  
CENLAR FSB; CITIBANK; CITIBANK NA;  
CITIGROUP.; and DOES 1 through 20  
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:22-cv-00039-WBS-AC

[Placer County Superior Court Case No. S-  
CV-0047363]

**STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF  
TIME FOR DEFENDANT CITIBANK,  
N.A. TO RESPOND TO SECOND  
AMENDED COMPLAINT AND ORDER**

Judge:	Hon. William B. Shubb
Complaint Filed:	September 27, 2021
Removed:	January 5, 2022
Trial Date:	October 24, 2023

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiffs Thomas M. Hogan and Ru Hogan (“Plaintiffs”), Defendant CITIBANK, N.A. (“Citibank”), and Defendant CENTRAL LOAN ADMINISTRATION dba CENLAR FSB (“Cenlar”) (collectively “the Parties”) as follows:

1. Plaintiffs filed the Complaint in the California Superior Court, County of Placer on September 27, 2021;
2. Citibank, with the consent of Cenlar, removed the case to this Court on January 5, 2022;
3. On January 26, 2022, Citibank filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs’ original complaint;
4. On February 15, 2022, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint (“FAC”);
5. On March 1, 2022, Citibank filed a Motion to Dismiss the FAC;
6. On April 26, 2022, the Court granted Citibank’s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs’ FAC without prejudice and permitted Plaintiffs leave to amend their pleading within 20 days;
7. Plaintiffs filed and served their Second Amended Complaint (“SAC”) on May 16, 2022;
8. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15, Citibank’s current deadline to respond to the SAC is Tuesday, May 31, 2022;
9. The filing date has not been set by order of the Court;
10. Pursuant to Local Rule 144(a), the Parties stipulate that Citibank may have an additional 14 days to respond to the Second Amended Complaint, making the new deadline **Tuesday, June 14, 2022.**

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: May 31, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

Helen C. Goodman  
**BRYAN CAVE LEIGHTON PAISNER LLP**

By: /s/ Helen C. Goodman  
Helen C. Goodman  
Attorney for Defendant  
CITIBANK, N.A.

1 Dated: May 31, 2022

John S. Sargetis  
UNITED LAW CENTER

3 By: /s/ John S. Sargetis  
John S. Sargetis  
Attorney for Plaintiffs

5 Dated: May 31, 2022

Jessica B. Coffield  
James Ramos  
WOLFE & WYMAN LLP


8 By: /s/ James Ramos  
James Ramos  
Attorney for Defendant  
CENTRAL LOAN ADMINISTRATION dba CENLAR  
FSB

11 I, Helen Goodman, hereby attest that concurrence in this filing has been obtained from each of the  
12 other Signatories.

13 By: /s/ Helen C. Goodman  
Helen C. Goodman

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: June 1, 2022

18   
19 WILLIAM B. SHUBB  
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE